

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>INSPECTION</u> <u>TYPE</u> :	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	OVERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0112146 D A	ATE: 7/13/2009	ARRIVE: <u>1300</u>	DEPART: <u>1500</u>		
FACILITY NAME: ATLANTIC BURIAL CASKET CO. DBA ABCO					
FACILITY LOCATIO	N: 820 N.W. 57TH STRI	EET			
	FT. LAUDERDALE	33309			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	ED REPRESENTATIVE: O	WEN DAY PHO	ONE: (954)772-5262		
CONTACT NAME:		PHO	ONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/27/2006 / 11/27/2011 (effective date) (end date)					
PART I: INSPECTION IN COMPLIAN	N COMPLIANCE STATUS NCE MINOR Non-COM		CANT Non-COMPLIANCE	3	
DADT II. TESTING/D	ECODDEFEDING DEOLID	EMENTS - Dulo 62 206 401	FAC		
PART II: TESTING/R (check appropria	ECORDKEEPING REQUIR ate box(es))	<u>EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401	, F.A.C.		
(check ☑ appropriate any of the control of the c	ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected?-			☐ Yes ⊠ No	
(check ☑ appropriate 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- hissions test conducted during the conducted during the conducted	nis site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes ⊠ No ☐ Yes ⊠ No	
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any o Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demodays prior to the 	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the second seco	nis site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date?	Yes ⊠ No	
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any of the control of	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the nstrate individual source comple AGP Notification form submis 1(5)(i), F.A.C.)	nis site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible e sion, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date?	□Yes □ No	
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible eme 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demodays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) 4. In order to demode completed within a) Carbon Monday	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- hissions test conducted during the nstrate individual source comple AGP Notification form submis 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) nstrate individual source comple in 60 days prior to the AGP Notoxide (CO) emissions equal to contact the submissions of the AGP Notoxide (CO) emissions equal to contact the submissions equal to c	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible e sion, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining app tification form submission? (For below the requirements of 1	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	□Yes □ No	
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible eme 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) 4. In order to demondate of the completed withing a) Carbon Monday of the completed withing of the completed withing and the completed withing of the complete of	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the control of the	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining app tification form submission? (For below the requirements of 1 urly average basis and tested a	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method	Yes NoYes NoYes NoYes NoYes No	
(check ☑ appropriate 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) 4. In order to demondent	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the control of the	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining app tification form submission? (For below the requirements of 1 urly average basis and tested a lethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 equal to or below the requirements of 1 equal to or below the requirements of 1 equal to or below the requirements of 2-297 equal to or below the requirements of 2 equal to 2 equal	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method 7, F.A.C.)?	Yes NoYes NoYes NoYes NoYes No	
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any of the control of the contr	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- hissions test conducted during the control of the	nis site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible election, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining app tification form submission? (For below the requirements of 1 urly average basis and tested a ethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 equal to or below the require d to 7% O ₂ and tested accordi	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method 7, F.A.C.)?	Yes No	
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any of the control of the contr	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the constrate individual source complete AGP Notification form submist 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) nstrate individual source complete 60 days prior to the AGP Notification forms equal to constant (CO) emissions equal to con	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining app tification form submission? (For below the requirements of 1 urly average basis and tested a ethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 equal to or below the require d to 7% O ₂ and tested according urce operating at the manufactor of the last formation to the date of the last formation to the date of the last formation.	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method 7, F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per ng to EPA Method 5 turers recommended n identical crematory unit? al compliance test?	Yes No Yes No	

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re-	
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	cording or such
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes □ No
2) Monitoring device	⊠Yes □ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
6) Adjustments	
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠Yes ☐ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ?	∐Yes ∐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	☐Yes ☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	☐Yes ☐ No
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tin	ne
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes □ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes ☐ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes ☐ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	<u> </u>
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	⊠Yes □ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	⊠ 1 €2
	□Vos ⋈ No
this location?	∐Yes ⊠ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes □ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes ∐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment	RES – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office? If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent was required, have all operators been retrained to a required, has the owner submitted copies of all operators of	nout replacement?
CPitters	7/13/2009
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	7/13/2010
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
COMMENTS: No environmental air violations were obser	rved during CY 2009 compliance inspection.